

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON DIVISION

Tavis Coardes,)	C.A. #2:16-3408-PMD-KDW
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	<u>ORDER</u>
Express Employment Professionals, and)	
Key Logistics Solutions, LLC,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

This matter is before the court upon the report and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge made in accordance with Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A).


This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). No objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's report.

A review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the magistrate judge, it is **ordered** that plaintiff's motion to extend time to serve summons and complaint [1st part of Doc. #17] is **granted**; plaintiff's motion to amend [2nd part of Doc. #17] is **denied**; and defendants' motions to dismiss [Docs. #6 and #10] are **denied as moot**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the caption be amended to reflect the proper name of Defendant Express as "Express Services, Inc."

ORDERED, that the magistrate judge's report and recommendation is adopted as the order of this Court.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.



PATRICK MICHAEL DUFFY
United States District Judge

June 19, 2017
Charleston, South Carolina